

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045;250	11/07/2001	William Arthur Taylor	2319 EXAMINER	
7:	590 09/13/2005			
WILLIAM ARTHUR TAYLOR 1326 ASPEN DRIVE			LAYNO, BENJAMIN	
EVERGREEN, CO 80439			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/045,250	TAYLOR, WIL	LIAM ARTHUR
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
•	Benjamin H. Layno	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence	e address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CON .136(a). In no event, however I will apply and will expire SII te, cause the application to b	MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be timely filed  X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the decome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	his communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 I  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi  3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final ance except for form	al matters, prosecution as to	the merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from considerat	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) object of drawing(s) be held in ction is required if the	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(adrawing(s) is objected to. See 3	7 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received ts have been received to the contract of the contract o	red. red in Application No red been received in this Natio	nal Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) D N	terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application ( ther:	(PTO-152)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chilton et al.

The patent to Chilton et al. discloses a method for playing a gambling device game. The gambling device 10 comprises coin acceptance 12, video display 30, computer controls 20, 24, 26, and game play means 38 interconnected to a power supply. To play Chilton's gambling device game, a bet is made by inserting coins activating the gambling device. A base video slot machine game, which may be a form of **draw poker**, may be played, see lines 1-4 in paragraph [0053]. The base video slot machine game has a first paytable, see last line in paragraph [0041]. Chilton's gambling device may further comprise a bonus game. The bonus game is automatically activated when the player achieves a triggering event that includes a particular card

Art Unit: 3711

combination (one or more predetermined symbols) in the base game (video poker game), see paragraphs [0046] and [0048]. The bonus game may be a **blackjack game**, **see claim 23**. A player is paid according to a paytable if a given result is achieved on Chilton's gambling device, see paragraph [0051].

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-22, 24-28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chilton et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Miller.

Applicant is referred to the description of Miller in the first Office action, mailed 08/25/04.

The patent to Miller disclose a gambling device game for playing a blackjack game having a blackjack **paytable** wherein blackjack players beating the dealer are paid according to their point score, col. 5, lines 21-34. Miller's blackjack paytable shows that a player is paid a different amount for beating the dealer with each different possible point score.

In view of Miller's teaching, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Chilton's gambling device game by modifying Chilton's

bonus blackjack game to including **paytable**. This modification would have given player's playing Chilton's game more payout opportunities in the bonus blackjack game. Thus, giving the players the perception of having a better chance at receiving a payout, and thus making Chilton's game more attractive.

In regard to claims 21 and 27, determining exactly what player's point score (e.g. player's lower point score 18, or a player's higher point score 21) is paid a greater amount when beating the dealer, is simply a casino business decision, which is always obvious in the art.

4. Claims 23 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chilton et al. in view of Miller as applied to claims 16 and 24 above, and further in view of String.

The patent to String teaches that it is known in the blackjack gaming art to provide side bets on the dealer beating the player (the player losing to the dealer), and paying a player that placed the bet if the player's hand loses.

In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to incorporate a side bet to Chilton's gambling device game wherein player's may place side bets on the player's hand losing to the dealer's hand. This modification would have given player's playing Chilton's game more payout opportunities in the bonus blackjack game. Thus, giving the players the perception of having a better chance at receiving a payout, and thus making Chilton's game more attractive.

Art Unit: 3711

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 23 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 23 and 29 recite "said blackjack paytable includes a prize for not beating the dealer" and "paytable includes paying a prize on a losing hand". This is not recited in the specification as originally filed on 11/07/01.

#### **Drawings**

6. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. The drawings, Figs. 2-4, only show paytables having occurrences wherein the player beats the dealer. There is no occurrence wherein the player loses to the dealer. Therefore, the "said blackjack paytable includes a prize for not beating the dealer" and "paytable includes paying a prize on a losing hand" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended

Application/Control Number: 10/045,250

Art Unit: 3711

replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 6

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3711

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571)272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ɓenjamin H. Lay∕no Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

bhl